

**Defending borders and crossing boundaries:  
Ideologies and practices of code mixing among Ukrainian youth**  
Debra Friedman, Department of Second Language Studies, Indiana University

**Example 1:** Interview at School B between Interviewer (I), 4 males, and one female (FS)<sup>1</sup>

I	<b>Jak vy stavytes' do suržyka? And how do you regard suržyk?</b> (3.0)
FS	<b>Duže smišno. It's very funny.</b>
I	<b>Čomu? Why?</b>
FS	<b>normal'no jakščo ljudyna: (.) nu (.) n:e može (0.2) bil's, suržyk ce koly (.) zmišana mova tak? It's normal if a person (.) well (.) canno:t (0.2) more, suržyk is when (.) mixed language yes?</b>
I	Uh-huh.
FS	<b>Jakščo ljudyna ne može čysto rozmovljaty ukrajins'koju movoju (0.2) tobto: (.) ne može (0.5) If a person cannot purely speak the Ukrainian language (0.2) that i:s (.) cannot (0.5)</b> <i>vid'jednuvaty ukrajins'ku j rosijs'ku movu čom bu ne rozmovljaty suržykom. separate the Ukrainian and Russian languages why not speak suržyk.</i>
	<i>Normal'no vidnosymos' do suržyka. ((laughs)) We treat suržyk as normal. ((laughs))</i>

**Example 2:** A few turns later

I	<b>A jak vy stavytes' do ukrajins'koji, rosijs'koji, ta anhlis'koji movy? And how do you regard the Ukrainian, Russian and English language?</b> (3.0)
FS	<b>Normal'no. Duže nozytiyvne jakes' stablennja do: (.) jak do rosijs'koji, tak do anhlis'koji. Normal. Very positive attitude towa:rds (.) as towards Russian so towards English.</b> <i>Do anhlis'koji voobšče (.) voobšče ((laughs)). Suržyk nočynajet'sja. Towards English in general (.) in general ((laughs)). Surzhyk is starting.</i>
	<i>Vzahali duže dobre vidnošennja. Ja ljublju anhlis'ku movu. In general a very good regard. I love the English language.</i>

**Example 3:** Interview at School A between Interviewer (I), 2 females (FS1, FS2) and 1 male (MS).

FS1	<b>V mene mama včylas' prosto v rosijs'kij školi ii jak by e:h jiji tjažko bylo perexodyty My mama studied only in a Russian school and so e:h for her it was difficult to switch</b> <i>na ukrajins'ku movu i ščas vona obščajet'sja suržykom. to Ukrainian and now she communicates in surzhyk.</i>
I	<b>Um-hum.</b>
FS1	<b>de- dejaki slova ja jiji vypravljaju nomohaju. so- some words I correct her I help her.</b>
I	<b>A jak vy dumajete pro suržyk? And what do you think about suržyk?</b> (1.0)
FS2	<i>((slight laugh)) Nu, konešno lučše bylo by vikorinity ce z movy ale Well, of course it would be better to eliminate it from the language, but</i> <i>(1.0) poka šče nevozmožno ce zrobity nemožlyvo. (1.0) so far it's still impossible to do it, it's impossible</i>
FS1	<b>Nemožlyvo. Impossible.</b>

<sup>1</sup> The first line comprises a transliterated version of the utterance using the Slavic Linguistic Transliteration System. The transliteration follows conventional spelling. Russian words are in **boldfaced italics**.

**Defending borders and crossing boundaries:  
Ideologies and practices of code mixing among Ukrainian youth**  
Debra Friedman, Department of Second Language Studies, Indiana University

**Example 4:** About three minutes later, we are talking about what the term *ridna mova* ‘mother tongue’ means.

MS	Ce vse zaležyt' [vid xarakteru ljudyny. <b>It all depends [on the nature of a person.</b>
FS2	[Da. [Yes.
MS	Vid xarakteru ljudyny de vona- je takyj patrjut ščo vin rodyvsja prožyv bukval'no p'jat rokiv tam <b>On the nature of a person where she- there is this patriot that he was born, lived literally five years there,</b>
	p'jat ja ne znaju zapom- zanam'jatav nu to jest', dobre, pojixav tam nu za kordon, ((0.8)) za kordon. <b>five I don't know reme- remember but that is, okay, he went there well overseas, ((0.8)) overseas.</b>
	I vin todi rozumije ščo nu:: tepliše bude tam (.) doma na u::h na ro::: <b>And he then understands that we::ll it will be warmer there at home in his u::h in his ro:::</b>
FS1	Na Ukrajini. <b>In Ukraine.</b>
FS2	Na: I:n
FS1	Na rodyne. <b>In his homeland.</b>
FSs	((laugh))
MS	Na rodyne na rodyne. <b>In his homeland in his homeland.</b>
FS1	((laughing)) Suržykom. ((laughing)) In suržyk.
MS	Suržyk.
FS1	Da. <b>Yes.</b>
	(0.5)
MS	Vot na bat'kivšcyni. O. <b>So in his homeland. Oh.</b>
	(1.5)
MS	Na bat'kivšcyni. <b>In his homeland.</b>
FSs	((Giggling))
MS	Ce zaležyt' vid ljudyny. <b>It depends on the person.</b>

#### References

- Auer, P. (1999). From code-switching via language mixing to fused lects: Towards a dynamic typology of bilingual speech. *International Journal of Bilingualism*, 3, 309-332.
- Duff, P. (2010). Language socialization into academic discourse communities. *Annual Review of Applied Linguistics*, 31, 169-192.
- García-Sánchez, I. (2010). Serious games. Code-switching and gendered identities in Moroccan immigrant girls' pretend play. *Pragmatics*, 20, 523-555.
- Garrett, P. (2005). What a language is good for: Language socialization, language shift, and the persistence of code-specific genres in St. Lucia. *Language in Society*, 34, 327-361.
- Howard, K. M. (2009). "When meeting Khun teacher, each time we should show respect": Standardizing respect and politeness in a northern Thai classroom. *Linguistics and Education*, 20, 254-272.
- Irvine, J., & Gal, S. (2000). Language ideology and linguistic differentiation. In P.V. Kroskrity (Ed.). *Regimes of language* (pp. 35-84). Santa Fe, NM: School of American Research Press.
- Kroskrity, P. V., (2006). Language ideologies. In A. Duranti (Ed.). *A companion to linguistic anthropology* (pp. 496-517). Malden, MA: Blackwell.
- Moore, L. (2006). Learning by heart in Qur'anic and public schools in northern Cameroon. *Social Analysis*, 50, 109-126.
- Myers-Scotton, C. (1998). A theoretical introduction to Markedness Model. In C. Myers-Scotton (Ed.). *Codes and consequences* (pp. 18-38). Oxford :Oxford University Press.
- Paugh, A. (2012). *Playing with languages: Children and change in a Caribbean village*. New York: Berghahn Books.
- Silverstein, M. (1998). The uses and utility of ideology: A commentary. In B.B. Schieffelin, K. A. Woolard, & P.V. Kroskrity (Eds.), *Language ideologies: Practice and theory* (pp. 123-148). New York: Oxford University Press.
- Schegloff, E. A., Jefferson, G., & Sacks, H. (1977). The preference for self-correction in the organization of repair in conversation. *Language*, 52, 361-382.